

UNITED STATES PAGENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/441,674	11/17/1999	MARK E. LEWIS	6622.US.01	4770
23.72	7590 07/17/2002			
ABBOTT LABORATORIES DEPT. 377 - AP6D-2 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD			EXAMINER	
			CROSS, LATOYA I	
	RK, IL 60064-6050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	12
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_			S
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• ১,		09/441,674	LEWIS ET AL.	
	· Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		LaToya I. Cross	1743	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	,
Period fo	r Reply			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 is IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, it ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (i) we statute cause the application to begin	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>05 March 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠	·	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for forma under Ex parte Quayle, 193	al matters, prosecution as to the merit 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ts is
-	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the appl		_	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideratio	n.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	and/or election requireme	nt.	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10)		accepted or b) objected		
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed or			
	If approved, corrected drawings are require			
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by	tne Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0.0.440(-) (0.0.40	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been receive	ed in Application No	
*	 Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for 	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	}
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
] ,	 a) The translation of the foreign languable Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 	age provisional application	has been received.	
15) Attachme	•	comocat priority arrest do	••	
1) Not	int(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:	·

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' amendment filed March 5, 2002 and entered as Paper No. 11. Claims 1-28 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9, 10, 12-18, 21-24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,580,794 to Allen (hereinafter Allen '794).

Applicants' invention is directed to a test strip for use in combination with a measuring device comprising a support, at least one reaction area, and an indicator.

Allen '794 teaches a disposable electronic assay device. The device comprises a test strip containing a sample receptor for receiving a sample to be tested, a sample treatment element for reaction with the sample to yield a detectable change, an electrical signal produced by a detector to correlate the amount of analyte in the sample, and a signal processor for outputting a visually readable result. See abstract. The test strip (10) has a pair of electrodes (12) mounted between the sample receptor zone (14) and the reagent zone (16). (col. 7, line 62 – col. 8, line 15). The reagents may be dry formulated on a matrix which can be a bibulous material such as porous plastic (col. 10, lines 33-48). The reagent zone may comprise reagents such as enzymes, antibodies, antigens, etc. (col. 16, lines 16-21). The detectable change is a change in reflectivity, transmission or electrical current (col. 7, lines 41-61). The signal

Art Unit: 1743

processing means may include an analog to digital conversion means. Assays for glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides, etc. may be detected by the devices. In addition, single or multiple assays can be done at one time.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' claimed invention is deemed to be anticipated, within the meaning of 35 USC 102(b), in view of the teachings of Allen '794.

3. Claims 1-8, 10-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,312,590 to Gunasingham (hereinafter Gunasingham '590).

Gunasingham '590 teaches a device for detecting chemical species in liquids.

The test device comprises measuring electrodes (2) and reference electrodes (4) coated with a reaction layer (11) and a membrane layer (12). At least one of the electrodes is made by screen-printing carbon ink onto the base strip (1) (col. 6, lines 35-37). Each of the electrodes is made of gold, platinum, silver, graphite, etc. The measuring apparatus used in conjunction with the test device connects to the reference/working electrode pairs. The devise is used in sensing blood glucose levels using the enzyme glucose oxidase.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' claimed invention is deemed to be anticipated, within the meaning of 35 USC 102, in view of the teachings of Gunasingham '590.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 5, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments concerning the rejections given in the previous Office Action and restated above are seemingly directed to the function of each of the structures recited in the claimed invention. Specifically, Applicants argue that neither Allen '794, nor

30-32 material

29 33-39

41-43 mwo

44-62 52-54 mwo

Art Unit: 1743

Gunasingham '590 teach devices which have a test strip and/or test port that selects a particular assay to be performed at a particular time. In Allen, the reference clearly teaches that single or multiple assays can be performed at one time. Also, Gunasingham teaches detection of multiple species in Example 4. Applicants' concern is that the claims recite "sensor capable of interacting with said test port to select one of a multiplicity of testing functionalities" and also a "test port comprising a sensor capable of interacting with said indicators on said test strip to select one of multiplicity of testing functionalities". Applicants seemingly believe that "means plus function" applies here; however, it does not. To invoke "mean plus function" under 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, one must meet a three prong test, the first test requiring the phrase "means for" or "step for" to be present in the claim. Since none of the claims recite such language, means plus function is not invoked. Thus, in examining the claims for patentability, the Examiner considers the structure of the device, not the function of its parts. Both the Allen '794 and Gunasingham '590 references meet Applicants' claimed limitations. Both rejections are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 703-305-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

LIC

July 13, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700